

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/726,062	Applicant(s) CARLSON ET AL.	
	Examiner George R. Evanisko	Art Unit 3762	

All Participants:

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(2) Suneel Arora.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting

Claims discussed:

43

Prior art documents discussed:

6748272

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to provide a terminal disclaimer for a possible double patenting rejection in view of 6748272

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

GEORGE R. EVANISKO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/2/7

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)